

## **“Freemasonry, Vatican II and 1960”**

**By John Salza**

### ***Consecration Now Conference – Rome, May 13, 2011***

There is a body of clear and compelling testimony from the likes of Cardinal Pacelli (future Pope Pius XII), Fr. Fuentes, Fr. Alonso, Fr. Schweigl, Cardinal Oddi, Cardinal Ciappi, Fr. Malachi Martin, and even Cardinal Ratzinger (the future pope Benedict XVI) that the Third Secret of Fatima, not yet revealed by the Vatican, predicts a spiritual crisis in the bosom of the Church. In this presentation, I am going to elaborate on this theme, by demonstrating that this crisis is nothing less than a world-wide apostasy from the Catholic Faith fueled by the principles of Freemasonry, which would penetrate the Church using an ecumenical council, corrupt the hierarchy, and threaten the gravest spiritual and material chastisements in world history.

Note well that the Third Secret does not just announce the crisis; *it identifies the causes of the crisis* – and the primary cause of the crisis is the doctrinal compromises of the hijackers of Vatican II who sought to give the Church a “new orientation” rather than unequivocally affirming what she is – the Mystical Body of Christ outside of which no one is saved. The fact that Pope Benedict has called for a “hermeneutic of continuity” when interpreting the conciliar texts proves that the continuity of council’s teachings with the Catholic Faith is legitimately in question.

This explains why Our Lady, by “express order,” mandated the secret be revealed in 1960 - the year that the plans for the council began to unfold. Vatican II was the only major historical event that

could be possibly connected with 1960 and the Third Secret, which must be admitted even by those who support the Vatican's Party Line on Fatima.

Now, Pope John XXIII announced the council the previous year, January 25, 1959. And, as Providence arranged, this day was the anniversary of the beginning of WWII (Jan 25, 1938) as foretold in the second part of the Secret, and now Pope John's announcement of this revolutionary council on the same date also made it the beginning of the devil's war on the Church, foretold in the third part of the Secret. Just as the Second Secret predicted a material chastisement by means of natural war, the Third Secret predicts a spiritual chastisement by means of a spiritual war, both announced on January 25. And just as the crisis of war was preceded by a "light unknown" in the sky as Our Lady described in the Second Secret, the crisis of Faith was preceded by novelties unknown to the Church, introduced through Vatican II, as warned in the Third Secret.

Note that it was only after Pope John announced the Second Vatican Council that he read the Third Secret – in August 1959 he read the currently suppressed text of Our Lady's words, and in 1960 he read the other part pertaining to the vision of the bishop dressed in white. Since he knew the Secret couldn't be revealed until 1960 by "express order of Our Lady," and he was also aware that the Secret revealed a coming apostasy in the Church, it's as if Pope John called the council in 1959 before he actually read the Secret as a pre-emptive act of silencing Our Lady in 1960, which, of course, he did. Or as Antonio Socci says, "to put before heaven a *fait accompli*" (in Italian, "un fatto compiuto").

And hence, John XXIII stated in his opening address to the council: “we must disagree with those prophets of gloom, who are always forecasting disaster.” It certainly appears that the pope was referring to Lucia, Jacinta and Francisco as those “prophets of doom.” But if that is the case, his statement must also refer Our Lady who “forecasted” through the three seers, the pending “gloom” and “disaster” for the Church if Her requests were not granted.

And so by disagreeing with Our Lady – the Seat of Wisdom and Mother of Mercy – Pope John decided to carry on with his council and table the Secret. And as he tabled it, he said the Third Secret “does not apply to my pontificate.” But if the Third Secret only warned of a world war or even a massive planetary catastrophe, how could *he* know that such events didn’t apply to *his* pontificate? He couldn’t predict the future. Think about Pope John’s statement – by saying it doesn’t apply to *my* pontificate strongly indicates that the Third Secret warns of a decisive pontifical act, for if the Secret warned only of a world war or natural disaster, he couldn’t say those events didn’t apply to his pontificate because he wouldn’t know that.

So the secret would seem to warn of a papal act, within a pope’s control, such as calling a revolutionary ecumenical council and charting a new direction for the Church, which he could later attempt to disclaim and said it applied to a future pope. But how could Pope John say that 1960 did not apply to his pontificate, when it clearly did? That shows the wisdom of Our Lady, who made 1960 integral to the Secret, by having Lucia write it on both envelopes of the two texts, expressly ordering the texts to be revealed during that year - for the popes could bury the texts, but they couldn’t hide the dates written on the outside of the envelopes which of course point to Vatican II (just ask Cardinal

Bertone about that, for in 2007 he revealed on national television the text written on the outside of the two envelopes).

And just as Pope John buried the secret, so his successors have done the same – because they, the implementers of this new orientation taken at Vatican II, are the very objects of the prophecy spoken by Our Lady; they are chiefly responsible for the crisis.

Of course, the good and orthodox teachings of Vatican II are not in question. At the same time, all of the radical changes in the Church in the last 50 years, since 1960 - whether theology or liturgy or discipline - are ultimately attributed to Vatican II by *both* modernists and traditional Catholics alike, by both defenders and critics of Vatican II! We both agree these alterations were borne from the letter or the spirit of the council! Modernists use the council to justify their errors, while Catholics use the council, in light of the infallible definitions of the Church, to highlight their errors. This is why 1960 is the dividing line as Our Lady so generously revealed to us: the dividing line between the crisis forewarned, and the crisis commenced, or as a Thomist would say, the crisis in potency and the crisis in act.

The harm to be brought about by the council explains why Sister Lucy could not write the Third Secret down for several months, even while she was under a direct order from her bishop to do so – because the Third Secret reveals a chastisement that has no precedent in Church history. Lucia didn't have any problem writing down the first two parts of the Secret, which were terrifying enough: a vision of hell where demons torture countless souls, prophecies of wars and persecutions, sufferings for the Holy Father, even the annihilation of

nations. Lucia was able to write down all these things because there were historical precedents for them.

There were precedents for war, for suffering, for martyrdoms of the faithful and even the pope, and the annihilation of *entire nations* in the second part of the Secret that she freely wrote down seems to be a much worse material chastisement than the martyrdom of a single pope and selected clerics and laity in a particular city in the Third Part of the Secret. There was even historical precedent for mass heresy to afflict the Church at large (during the Arian crisis, where most of the Church's hierarchy held a heretical view of Christ, and only a handful of bishops remained orthodox). So why did Lucy need a divine intrusion from Our Lady to write down the Third Secret? Why?

Because there was *no* precedent for an ecumenical council of the Roman Catholic Church to contribute to a universal apostasy which would result in a catastrophic decline in every aspect of the Church's life and lead untold millions of souls to damnation. This would have been completely unthinkable, not only for a simple religious who viewed her superiors as authentic representatives of God, but for any faithful Catholic in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Given that the previous 20 ecumenical councils were dogmatic, which defined truth and condemned error – which is a council's moral obligation - there was no precedent for a “pastoral” ecumenical council, much less one that would define no dogma and yet, effect a revolution within the Church to make it appear *as if dogma had changed!*

Remember, the heart of the Third Secret regards the dogma of the Faith. As Fr. Schweigel confirmed in his 1952 interview with Sister Lucy, the phrase “In Portugal, the dogma of the Faith will always be

preserved etc.” is the beginning of the Third Secret. What logically follows is that the dogma of the Faith will *not* be preserved elsewhere, and that this lack of preserving dogma, this attack on dogma, is tied to 1960 and thus to Vatican II. Sister Lucy confirmed this connection in her letter to Mother Martins, of September 16, 1970.

While my presentation is primarily about the spiritual chastisement (the spiritual being more harmful to souls), I want to briefly address the material chastisement prophesied in the Third Secret as well. As Scripture reveals and as Lucia confirmed, God sends material chastisements as a punishment for sin, and there is no greater sin than apostasy from the Faith, especially universal apostasy in the bosom of the Church itself, which means the conditional punishment warned of in the Third Secret is so incredibly terrifying as to be unlike anything mankind has ever experienced – which is another reason why Lucia may have had difficulty committing the Secret to writing.

In 1984, Cardinal Ratzinger said “The things contained in this Third Secret correspond to what has been announced in Scripture and has been said in many other Marian apparitions.” Those other apparitions would include LaSalette, where Our Lady revealed that Rome will lose the Faith and become the seat of the anti-Christ, and Akita, which Cardinal Ratzinger said is essentially the same as Fatima, in a statement he made in 2001 to Howard Dee, the former Philippine Ambassador to the Vatican.

On October 13, 1973, on the anniversary of the Miracle of the Sun, Our Lady revealed to Sister Sasagawa at Akita Japan that “God will inflict a great punishment upon humanity, greater than the deluge, where fire will fall from the sky, killing a great part of humanity; the

devil will infiltrate the Church, clerics will oppose each other, those in the Church will accept compromises, churches will be sacked and consecrated souls will be lost.” Of course, none of this information is contained in the first two parts of the Secret that the Vatican has revealed means there is a missing text.

Now, there is a precedent for God wiping out most of humanity as a punishment for sin; this happened with the Flood during the time of Noah. There is also precedent for God raining fire from heaven when he killed the Sodomites for their sin of homosexuality. But Our Lady of Akita says *this* chastisement will be “such as one will never have seen before.” Why? I think several reasons.

First, because God’s wrath will have reached such a level that He will kill “both the good and the bad, sparing neither priests nor faithful.” This was not the case with the Flood or the destruction of the Sodomites. In Akita’s revelation, God will not discriminate, and those who survive, Our Lady says, will “envy the dead.” This is what will happen if the Mother of God is ignored for too long.

Second, these chastisements will also be coincident with a world war – also confirmed by Fr. Malachi Martin – that will bring almost the entire world into the slavery of communism – also, an unprecedented chastisement in world history. Our Lady appeared to Sister Elena Aiello, who was renowned by Pope Pius XII for her holiness and who died in 1961, who told her that Russia would wage a sudden war against the West which obviously includes Western Europe, and which may explain the vision of the Third Secret where the pope is fleeing a devastated city in ruins before he is hunted down and killed. Russia would likely

attack and destroy Rome. Sister Aiello also had a vision of the Russian flag flying atop St. Peter's Basilica.

But the West also includes Canada and the United States - remember, Sister Lucy herself said that the US would also become enslaved to communism if the consecration did not occur in time. This revelation echoed the prophecies of Zachary of the 1840s who revealed that Russia and China will fire missiles at North America and bring the West into bondage. Today, 170 years removed from this prophecy, we see Russia and China entering into a military alliance, as they fund terrorist states in the Middle East to distract and weaken the US military.

Pope John Paul II has also indirectly acknowledged the veracity of these prophecies. When he was in Fulda Germany in 1980, he was asked why the Third Secret was not revealed. He first indicated that the Secret reveals that millions will die instantly as they did in the Flood and he wanted to avoid what he called "sensationalism." But he then said that the secret was not revealed because the Vatican didn't want to encourage the communists to take certain steps. A surprising revelation which suggests that the Secret reveals the Russian communists will be victorious in their war against the West – again, if the consecration does not happen in time. This is a frightening reality for us and our children and grandchildren.

What happens to the Church? Our Lady at Akita said that, during this chaos, the devil will infiltrate the church and churches will be destroyed. Because of the reign of communism throughout the world, the Church as we know it will be forced to go underground as it did in the first century. Our Lady revealed to Blessed Catherine Emmerich that

a false ecumenical church governed by an anti-pope will emerge which will be recognized by secular authorities because it will promote a one-world Masonic religion, while the true Church and true pope remain in hiding. All of this prepares the stage for the anti-Christ, which is why Fr. Malachi Martin said that what is contained in the Third Secret is more horrifying than WWII. The prophetic Pope St. Pius X, who was given a vision of the martyrdom of the pope revealed in the Third Secret, also warned in his encyclical on the Sillon about “the great movement of apostasy for the establishment of a One World Church with no dogma, under the pretext of freedom and human dignity.”

All of this explains Cardinal Ratzinger’s 1984 statement that the Third Secret reveals “dangers to the faith and the life of the Christian and therefore the life of the world.” Apostasy leading to unprecedented spiritual and material chastisements for all of humanity.

Now, the spiritual chastisements which give rise to these punishments were revealed long before Fatima.

We can begin in the 16<sup>th</sup> century at Quito Ecuador, where Our Lord and Our Lady appeared to a Sister Mariana de Jesus Torres in apparitions that pre-figured those of Fatima (which have been acknowledged by Pope Paul V and the Church at large).

In 1582 God gave Sister Mariana a vision of Jesus’ Passion and the Church engulfed in demonic smoke (which corresponds to Paul VI’s statement “the smoke of Satan has entered the temple of God” after he lamented the effects of Vatican II). God revealed in this vision that He was going to punish three major sins in the 20<sup>th</sup> century: heresy + impiety + impurity (heresy perverts the dogma of the Faith; impiety perverts the expression of the Faith; and impurity perverts the morality

of the Faith). Our Lady mentioned these sins would be committed in the following ways, all of which we have seen since 1960:

She first revealed there would be a corruption of ecclesiastical customs. These would include eliminating the Roman canon from the Mass, using vernacular instead of Latin, tables instead of high altars, not enforcing head coverings for women, using lay readers and even women to serve the altar, and many other novelties. These corruptions have happened as a result of the council's unprecedented delegation of authority over the liturgy to bishops' conferences which have replaced these ancient usages to satiate their thirst for novelties.

She also said there would be profanation of Eucharist. She said hosts would be stolen, profaned, desecrated. This was unthinkable until the wake of Vatican II, where tabernacles were removed from the Church proper, lay people were allowed to handle the Blessed Sacrament and even distribute Holy communion, and to standing communicants in the hand. These novelties were borne out of the council's deficient definition of the Mass as a mere "memorial of our Lord's death and resurrection," a type of fellowship meal, rather than the propitiatory sacrifice of the cross of Christ offered through His priests to satisfy God's justice and appease His wrath against sin in the miracle of transubstantiation.

She said sacraments would fall into disuse. We see this particularly with confession which has become almost extinct in many *Novus Ordo* parishes, for there has been a de-emphasis on sin, judgment and hell beginning with the conciliar texts, which is why Our Lady had to affirm Church dogma by showing the seers a *vision* of hell, because she knew hell would be all but forgotten in the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Our Lady also said there would be immodesty and profanity in dress even among children who would lose their innocence – another prophecy for the 20<sup>th</sup> century fulfilled. She further said the sacrament of matrimony would be profaned – we see this not only outside the Church with laws that attack Christian marriage, but also inside the Church with the annulment fiasco and a perverted understanding of the primary means of marriage through some Gnostic theology of the body, which also finds its roots in the council. All of this predicted by Our Lady in the 16<sup>th</sup> century!

She also said the devil would especially attack priests in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, who would become perverted and lose their vocation entirely. All the experts say this prophecy is repeated in the suppressed text of the Third Secret. After revealing the war the devil is waging on the Church, Lucia said “the devil does everything to overcome souls consecrated to God, because in this way the devil will succeed in leaving souls of the faithful abandoned by their leaders, thereby the more easily will he seize them.”

This connection between the Third Secret and apostasy in the priesthood was confirmed by none other than Pope John Paul II himself, when at the beatification ceremony of Jacinta and Francisco, he reaffirmed Sister Lucy’s testimony by tying the message of Fatima to the Apocalypse chapter 12, where the tail of the dragon swept down a third of the stars of heaven, in reference to the consecrated souls of the Church who are supposed to illumine the path to heaven. In other words, the Third Secret of Fatima reveals that a substantial number of Catholic clergy are working for the devil and leading souls to hell.

In 1610, Our Lady made the astonishing statement that in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the year of the Second Vatican Council, Satan would reign almost exclusively through Freemasonry. If the Third Secret warns of the council, and Satan would attack the 20<sup>th</sup> century Church primarily through Freemasonry, it follows that the council and Freemasonry worked together to bring about the crisis, whether through the intent or the negligence of the pastors, but certainly, by diabolical design.

How so? Well, as even Freemasons admit, through Vatican II's ambiguous and unprecedented formulations, for example, on ecumenism (which Pius XII had previously condemned in 1949), on religious liberty, always condemned by the Church, which seems to say that man has a natural right based on his dignity to worship as he sees fit; through its unfounded praise for non-Christian religions which the Church had theretofore always held to be obstacles to salvation; and by means of a new ecclesiology which seems to broaden the means of salvation beyond the Church proper. Such principles are drawn from the Lodge, not the perennial Magisterium.

While addressing even a handful of these teachings is well beyond the scope of this presentation, and while not judging the subjective intentions of the council fathers, but only the objective texts, it is necessary to just briefly address the most fundamental one, the one that is at the heart of the Third Secret, the one that both Freemasonry and Communism held to be the most dangerous, that is, the thrice-infallibly defined dogma "No Salvation Outside the Church" (in Latin, *extra ecclesiam nulla salus est*).

In Vatican II's *Lumen Gentium*, it says, "the Church of Christ *subsists* in the Catholic Church" which scholars admit could be

interpreted to mean that the Church of Christ may be something different, something bigger than the Catholic Church, for it doesn't say the Church of Christ *is* the Catholic Church or subsists *only* in the Catholic Church. Never before had the Church used such terminology, which could be subject to so many heretical interpretations.

*Lumen Gentium* also says "many elements of truth and sanctification are found outside its visible confines." *Unitatis Redintegratio* defined those elements as "the life of grace" including the supernatural virtues of "faith, hope and charity." So the council does not appear to be talking about actual grace being operative outside the Church which moves a non-Catholic *to* the Church to receive the sanctifying grace of baptism, at which time (and *only* at which time) he receives "the life of grace." Rather, the council says that this life of grace, evidenced by the presence of "faith hope and charity," exists outside the Church as well. This is extremely problematic, to say the least.

*Unitatis* goes on to say that "the Spirit of Christ has not refrained from using them [non-Catholic religions] as a means of salvation." This can be interpreted to say that the Holy Ghost uses false religions to save souls. But how can there be no salvation outside the Church if the Holy Ghost *saves* men outside the Church? The Holy Ghost does not operate in non-Catholic communities *as communities*, but only in the individual souls of its members by means of actual grace, to move them to the Church, the only means by which they can receive sanctifying grace. But Vatican II specifically states that "by means of them," that is, by means of false religions, the Spirit of Christ saves souls. Again, never before had the Church ever taught such a thing, for it calls into question her infallible dogma.

This is what Our Lady warns us of in the Third Secret. In Portugal the dogma of the faith will always be preserved, but not elsewhere, even in the highest ranks of the Church, which would begin to unfold in 1960. Indeed, the forewarned crisis stems from the doctrinal compromises brought about by Masonic forces within the Church. This attack on the dogma “no salvation outside the Church” was the first and necessary step in the Masonic plan of achieving a common denominator religion inclusive of all faiths, because it quickly removes two most basic tenets of the Faith: the necessity of Christ *and* His Church (for you cannot separate the two) and the necessity of submission to Christ *and* His Vicar (for you cannot separate the two). Once you remove the supernatural necessity of the Church, you are left with a religion of naturalism, and *that* is the religion of Freemasonry (and incidentally, of Russian communism as well).

As such, these teachings of Vatican II have received the praise not only of Protestants, but of Freemasons as well, for example, Yves Marsaudon in his book *Ecumenism viewed by Traditional Freemason*: “all roads lead to God and this freethinking pouring forth from Masonic lodges has spread magnificently over the dome of St. Peters.” Another French Mason, Jacques Mitterand, in acknowledging the internal struggle of the Church since Vatican II, wrote, “Something has changed within the Church...the word of the Sovereign Pontiff is questioned by bishops, by priests, by the faithful. For a Freemason, a man who questions dogma is already a Freemason without an apron.”

In short, Quito and Fatima predict the same thing: the Church will be overtaken by Masonic ideology beginning in 1960 which would corrupt her liturgy, her theology and her very soul. And this was

precisely the prophecy of the future Pope Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) who in 1931 said the following:

“I am worried by the Blessed Virgin Mary’s messages to Lucy of Fatima. This persistence of Mary about the dangers which menace the Church is a divine warning against the suicide of altering the Faith, in her liturgy, her theology and her soul.” This altering of the Faith directly corresponds to the three sins Our Lord revealed at Quito which would be made manifest in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, beginning in 1960, fueled by Masonry:

1 - Impiety = results from altering the Church’s ancient liturgy

2 - Heresy = results from altering the Church’s theology

3 - Impurity = results from altering the Faith in the Church’s soul

The soul deals with the nature of being, thus the soul deals with the nature of the Church, which teaching has been compromised by Vatican II’s new ecclesiology. But the soul of the Church also regards the morality of her members. Remember: morality follows doctrine; so if doctrine is compromised, morality is weakened as a consequence. This explains not only the apostasy among some of the highest clerics in the Church, but also the clerical sexual abuse crisis which Pope Benedict last year confirmed is connected to Third Secret as he described “sins within the Church.” Sexual perversion, which is running rampant in the Church, is a consequence of idolatry, that is, worshiping the creature rather than the creator, which is promoted by the naturalism of Freemasonry and a false understanding of human dignity.

Whether by divine intrusion or specific knowledge, the future pope based his prophecies on the Message of Fatima. But his prophecies *cannot* be based on anything the Vatican has revealed to

date, for what has thus far been revealed says nothing about attacks on the Church's liturgy, and theology, and soul. So it *must* refer to the missing text.

Now, at Quito, Our Lady referred to Masonry in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. At Fatima, Our Lady referred to Russia and 1960. What is the connection? The connection is that the errors of Russia and Freemasonry are one and the same.

Right after Our Lady appeared at Fatima, Russian communism was born which, like Masonry, rejects the supernatural revelation of God in Jesus Christ in favor of a religion of naturalism. And naturalism, as Blessed Pius IX teaches, leads to a practical atheism, which is the chief error of Russia.

In *Humanum Genus*, Pope Leo XIII confirms that Communism and Masonry work in tandem to destroy God's Church: "this change and overthrow is deliberately planned and put forward by many associations of communists and socialists, and the sect of Freemasons, who greatly favor their designs and hold in common with them their chief opinions." Pope Leo's teaching has been confirmed by historical facts that both Freemasonry and Russian communism have designed to successfully infiltrate the Catholic Church to spread their errors.

First, Freemasonry's plan, which was articulated in *The Permanent Instruction of the Alta Vendita*. This is a document written in the mid 1850s by an Italian sect of Freemasonry called the Carbonari. This document revealed Masonry's plan to penetrate the Catholic Church by infiltrating seminaries, monasteries, and schools to spread liberalism among the youth, which would form future bishops and ultimately produce a pope "according to our needs." Liberalism would

be marked by humanitarian principles, liberty, equality, fraternity, human dignity – terms you constantly hear used by the conciliar Church and by Freemasons in their pursuit of a one-world pan-religion without Christ.

The conciliar Church has become what Freemasonry wanted: no longer viewed as the sole ark of salvation, but rather a collaborator and dialogue partner with other “religious communities” striving to build a utopia on earth, a “civilization of love” through “brotherhood” and “peace.”

We also know about Pope Leo XIII’s vision, while he was celebrating Mass, of a dark fog covering the Church (like Quito), with demons attacking the Church, almost bringing it to a collapse, at which point the pope yelled out “No salvation is possible in the Church?” (in Italian, it was recorded as “ma nessuna salvezza e’ possibile alla chiesa?”), revealing that the salvation dogma we just addressed would be compromised within the Church itself. After St. Michael defeated the demons and threw them back into hell, Pope Leo heard a voice from heaven which said: “All of this will begin to happen in various pontificates, and will come true because of Russia.” And when would this happen precisely? In the famous conversation that Pope Leo heard between Jesus and satan, where satan told Christ he would destroy the Church if Christ gave him 75 to 100 more years, which Christ granted to him. Well, 75 years from Pope Leo’s vision brings us precisely to 1960, and 100 years to the aftermath (or should we say rubble) of Vatican II.

After this vision, Pope Leo issued more encyclicals on Our Lady, and more condemnations of Freemasonry than any other pope in history, thereby connecting the attack on the Church’s dogma with Freemasonry’s battle against the Blessed Virgin.

Around same time as Pope Leo's vision, the ex-communicated apostate Canon Roca (who is quoted by Bishop Graber in his book *Athanasius and the Church of Our Time*) predicted the subversion of the Church, and that the transformation would happen "at an ecumenical council," which would create "new religion, new dogma," with a "pluri-confessional pontificate" engaging in "ecumenical concelebrations" with non-Catholics. Remember, Scripture reveals that God allows unbelievers to prophesy – look at the example of Caiaphas. Just as the evil Caiaphas accurately prophesied about the Passion of Christ at the hands of the Jewish leaders of the Old Covenant, the evil Canon Roca accurately prophesied about the Passion of Christ's Church at the hands of the Catholic leaders of the New Covenant.

In terms of Russian Communism's plan to infiltrate the Church, about the same time that Padre Pio had a revelation that Masonry was going to penetrate the Church, Lenin (the founder of Russian communism) said that he would infiltrate the Church, and take control of the Vatican. Remember St. Pio would also say during the reign of Paul VI that "satan had entered even the shoes of the pope!"

In the 1930s, ex-Communist Douglas Hyde, revealed that Communist leadership had a worldwide directive to infiltrate the Catholic Church, just as the Masons had planned in the *Alta Vendita*. In the 1950s, ex-Communist Bella Dodd testified that that Communism had put 1100 men into the priesthood by the 1930s to destroy the Church from within, and that they were in the highest places in the Church by the time of Vatican II, by 1960.

Like the Alta Vendita, Dodd said the Communist mission was not to destroy institution of the Church, but the *faith* of the people, by opening up the Church to the religions of the world to form a single, ecumenical, pseudo-religion.

Our Lady's warnings that Russia would spread her errors even into the heart of the Church is confirmed by another historical fact: in 1962 the Vatican signed an agreement with the schismatic Russian Orthodox sect (known as the Vatican-Moscow agreement or "Metz Pact") in which the Vatican agreed not to condemn Soviet communism or Russia at Vatican II in exchange for Pope John's wish that two Russian orthodox observers attend his council. And so, in exchange for the presence at an ecumenical council of the Catholic Church of two heretics who *rejected* the Catholic Church, the Vatican agreed not to uphold the *teaching* of the Catholic Church, that communism is the greatest institutionalized form of evil that has ever existed, which has taken the lives of untold millions of Catholics, and which is the principal material chastisement warned of in Third Secret.

It is no coincidence that the documented Communist infiltration of the Church was chronologically followed by the Vatican's policy of "Ostpolitik" as it is called, in which the Vatican has ceased condemnations of Communist regimes in favor of dialogue and quiet diplomacy. And in the face of this quiet diplomacy, Russia continues to persecute the Catholic Church while the Vatican remains silent, just as Russia prepares with China to wage war on the West. That communist influence has penetrated the Church is also proven by the fact that schismatic communist priests of the Chinese Catholic Patriotic Association have been given canonical missions and priestly faculties in American dioceses, where agents of a Communist government learn

the secrets of and give spiritual direction to American Catholics. And then, we have traditional Catholic priests, such as those of the Society of St. Pius X, who uphold the true Message of Fatima and have even orchestrated Rosary crusades for the consecration of Russia (including the current one recently launched by His Excellency Bishop Fellay), who are given no canonical mission by the Vatican.

Given the infiltration we have documented, it is no surprise that the Vatican has also negotiated the Balamand Declaration of 1993 with the Orthodox schismatics, agreeing that any attempts to convert them to the true Church is a “outdated ecclesiology.” Today, the Vatican calls the Orthodox schismatic communities “sister Churches” and their heretical patriarchs as “pastors in the Church” – again, compromising the nature of the Church and her infallible dogma. And, being a sister Church with no pressure from the Vatican to convert to the Faith, the Russian Orthodox sect happily participates in Vatican II’s program of ecumenism. The Orthodox have joined the World Council of Churches (“WCC”) and holds leadership positions in this syncretist, communist, Masonic organization that seeks a unification of the world’s religions. In fact, the WCC is pressuring Catholic priests and bishops to join in ecumenical celebrations of the Holy Mass which is in fact occurring, and if this continues, will pave the way for the anti-Christ, where ecumenical Masses will result in invalid consecrations, where Christ will not be worshiped, but, rather, an unconsecrated piece of bread and cup of wine, an idol in the Temple of God, the “abomination of desolation” prophesied by Daniel and Our Lord.

The Vatican’s treatment of the Russian Orthodox is also a direct affront to Our Lady of Fatima. After all, it is the conversion of Russia that will usher in the Triumph of Our Lady’s Immaculate Heart. But that

cannot happen under the current regime where the Vatican no longer *seeks* the conversion of Russia to the Catholic Faith. God wants to convert Russia, but He will *not* do so until the pope obeys Our Lady's directives.

Perhaps the biggest affront to Our Lady of Fatima and evidence of the spirit of Freemasonry having penetrated the Church is the many pan-religious gatherings – previously condemned by the Magisterium – in which the conciliar Church participates, including those at Assisi, which has always raised a question in my mind: if JPII's 1984 consecration met Our Lady's prescriptions for world peace as the Vatican claims, then why did the pope hold an international prayer gathering for world peace at Assisi in 1986? And for which he started to prepare almost immediately after his 1984 consecration? Either he didn't trust Our Lady's promise, or didn't believe he properly performed the consecration in 1984, which we know he effectively admitted when he referred to those people who still await the consecration after he performed the 1984 ceremony.

The Vatican has announced that there will be another Assisi summit this coming October. Aside from the scandal and departure from the entire Catholic Tradition which forbids prayer gatherings with unbelievers, Assisi raises many troubling theological questions. For example, if the participants are called to prayer as they were in the past, I have asked myself, who will the non-Christians at Assisi be praying to? It's a most fundamental question, and we have every right to ask it.

If they are praying to false gods, then, objectively speaking, how can we not conclude that the Vatican is at least materially cooperating

in this gravest of sins committed by those with the gravest of responsibilities? A clear manifestation of the diabolical disorientation in the Church. Does this practice not also suggest that praying to false gods is somehow an avenue to the true God who will respond to these petitions? This is something so completely foreign to Catholic theology as to warrant no further comment. The fact that, in the past two Assisi gatherings, the unbelievers were sent off to separate rooms and behind walls of isolation demonstrates an acknowledgment by the Vatican that there is false worship going on, for we don't separate in that way from those who are worshiping the true God. Assisi is the religion of Freemasonry, not Catholicism, and this is part of the spiritual chastisement of the Third Secret of Fatima.

Those who will not concede there are problems with Assisi would necessarily have to argue that the unbelievers are praying *to the true God*. This is the teaching of Freemasonry. Everyone worships the same God. You cannot break the First Commandment in the Lodge or at Assisi. Of course, this too violates all of Catholic Tradition and Scripture, which reveals that invoking false gods is really praying to devils. David says in Ps 95:5 that "all the gods of the heathens are devils; and St Paul in 1Cor 10:20 says the unbelievers sacrifice to devils, not to God. As St Thomas teaches, God does not hear the prayers of unbelievers unless it is a prayer of repentance for salvation, but certainly not for some temporal good like world peace. Those prayers to be offered by unbelievers at Assisi for world peace will be in vain and will only invoke God's contempt for their unbelief.

What we see, then, ladies and gentlemen, are two peace plans. One comes from Heaven, the other comes from the Vatican.

In Heaven's Peace plan, the Pope, along with all of the bishops of the world, consecrates Russia to Our Lady's Immaculate Heart.

In the Vatican's peace plan, pagans are invited to Assisi to pray to the devil.

This is the conclusion that our Catholic theology demands. The Vatican wants peace *without the Prince of Peace and without the Queen of Peace*. In Ezekiel 13:10, God rebuked the leaders of the Old Covenant for their pursuit of worldly, temporal favors when he said "they have deceived my people, saying peace, and there is no peace." St. Paul elaborates on this warning in 1Thess 5:3 by prophesying, "for when they shall say peace and security, then shall sudden destruction come upon them, as the pains upon her that is with child, and they shall not escape."

In Ezekiel 22:26, God also rebuked His leaders for their ecumenical practices saying, "her priests have despised my law and have defiled my sanctuaries: they have put no difference between holy and profane: nor have distinguished between the polluted and the clean." In his first letter to the Corinthians, St Paul, who preached Christ to the unbelievers and warned Catholics not to have spiritual fellowship with them, revealed that these Old Testament warnings were written down for our correction, upon whom the ends of the world are come."

Ironically, or maybe not so ironically, the supporters of Assisi could use Vatican II to defend this abomination, which proves that the council is the primary cause of the crisis. According to *Dignitatis Humanae*, the pagans have a God-given right, based on their human dignity and nature, to their public worship. The pope cannot tell them to convert to Christ because that would violate their "right" to religious

liberty. If the Church proselytizes the pagans, they can respond by saying “we have a natural right to our pagan worship (positive right), and also a right not to be hindered from engaging in our pagan worship” (negative right). *Dignitatis Humanae* affirms both the positive and the negative right to non-Christian worship because they are two sides of the same coin, as St Thomas teaches, every negation is based on an affirmation. Therefore, to say that Vatican II does not condone Assisi is erroneous. The problem started in 1960, not 1986 or 2002.

Let’s face it. For those who love the Church and the Holy Father like we do, these are difficult issues to talk about. But we must take the advice of Pope St. Gregory the Great: “It is better that scandal arise than that the truth be hidden” and of Pope St. Felix III: “Not to oppose error is to approve it, and not to defend truth is to suppress it.” Canon law 212 also gives Catholics a right, and even the duty, according to their knowledge and competence, to manifest their opinion to both the sacred pastors and laity on matters that pertain to the good of the Church.

Yet, there is hope. There is still time before 2017, the centenary of Fatima, which might be the deadline for effecting the consecration as Our Lady specified. As Our Lord revealed to Sister Lucy, if the pope does not obey these prescriptions in a timely fashion, he will have the same fate as the King of France (Louis 16<sup>th</sup>) who was overthrown 100 years to the day after Our Lord commanded his predecessor to consecrate France to His Sacred Heart, and which led to his execution. As the Third Secret reveals, the pope will be murdered if the consecration is not done in time. Time is short indeed.

And speaking of hope, it is worthwhile to note that, even though the Orthodox are schismatics, they are very strict in their theological approach and liturgical practices. Unlike what has happened to Catholicism in the West, the Orthodox hold on to their traditions and they generally have valid priests. This means, when the pope finally does consecrate Russia to OL's Immaculate Heart, Russia will convert to the traditional Catholic Faith. They will be traditional Catholics! Then the world will know, once again, that the Catholic religion, as professed and practiced before Vatican II, is the only true religion, that Vatican II was a departure from the traditional Faith, that the pope (whom the Eastern schismatics rejected a millennium ago) is truly the Vicar of Christ, and Our Lady is the Mediatrix of All Graces, who brought about their conversion. Fatima is key because it puts salvation in the hands of Our Lady and the pope, the two most distinguishable persons in Catholicism. After the triumph of Our Lady's Immaculate Heart, the Catholic Faith will once again be recognized as the only true religion – a restoration of the dogma “no salvation outside the Church.”

All of these facts are further evidence of *why* Our Lady demands that Russia be consecrated to Her Immaculate Heart, and *why* this consecration has not yet occurred. And as John Paul II recognized, the message of Fatima imposes an obligation on the Church by virtue of the Deposit of Faith, which reveals that the Church is built upon the prophets of the New Testament, and warns us not to despise prophecy, lest we extinguish the Spirit, lest we resist the Holy Ghost. Of course, this prophecy, which St. Paul cites in 1Thess 5:20, necessarily refers to the public prophetic revelations of Our Lady at Fatima approved by the Church.

Let us pray that the consecration of Russia is not finally and desperately effected as a result of World War III or a supernatural planetary chastisement, but rather, that the consecration *prevents* these disasters from occurring because, even though it will be late, it will still be in time. Let us pray that this time for consecration is *now*.

Our Lady of Fatima, pray for us!